

**EL DORADO HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS SPECIAL MEETING**

April 27, 2006

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

President Justin Masters brought the special meeting to order on Thursday, April 27, 2006, at 7:10 p.m. Directors Larry Brilliant, Joe Chinn, David Trapani and Wyatt were in attendance. Also present were General Manager Wayne Lowery, Planning Director Dianna Hillyer, Administrative Assistant Kristie Downey, Parks Director Kent Oakley, and Recreation Supervisor Matt Lishman. MIG Consultants Sally McIntyre and Nell Donaldson were present as were approximately five members of the public.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Motion No. 1: Motion was made by Director Brilliant and seconded by Director Trapani. Motion Carried 5-0-0

History & background of work completed was reviewed. Ms. Hillyer reviewed how to incorporate future facilities with future needs. Ms. Hillyer reviewed the revised project timeline. The board is concerned that it extends to September and asked staff to look at ways to shorten it. The timeline builds in time for staff to meet with various community groups to get their support and buy-in of the Master Plan.

OPEN SPACE: Ms. McIntyre explained the rationale for including private – owned Open Space in the Master Plan Inventory. Other communities count all open space primarily for its intrinsic environmental value, followed by its recreation potential. After Board discussion and public comment, there was Board consensus that the privately owned (i.e. HOA-owned) open space will be included in the Master Plan inventory, separate from the CSD-owned open space and that the privately owned open space be further delineated to show the public access acres vs. no-public-access acres. This will be helpful in distinguishing which acres are available for public use vs. aesthetic value only.

FIELDS: Ms. McIntyre reviewed inventory and methodology for calculating demand. Director Chinn suggested that we track demand without school fields, increasing CSD need for fields and then as school fields are negotiated and determined acceptable, they can be included and close the gap. Director Brilliant felt that school fields conditions aren't reliable and should not be included in inventory.

Public Comment: Darrin White, Promontory Village, The Board is not consistent because it agrees to count non-CSD owned open space, but won't count non-CSD owned sports fields. Guy Gertsch, Promontory Village inquired about which school fields are down. He would like to see the Board be consistent in approach and keep all the school fields in the inventory. If there is

a field shortage, the CSD should look at how & where CSD invests dollars. Dianna Hillyer, Governor's Village stated that she doesn't think that the CSD is the only agency with a community responsibility to provide sports fields when schools have the best, flattest land and need to be participate in provision of facilities. The CSD needs to work cooperatively with Schools to provide facilities, not let the schools off the hook.

Motion No. 2.: Director Chinn made a motion in favor of Option 2 as written, first paragraph only, seconded by Director Trapani. No further discussion, 4-1-0, Wyatt opposed.

Option 2 states: "Further discount School Fields at 35%. Although we have never done so in past planning projects and are not aware of any other agencies who have done so, we suggested increasing the discount for school fields to 35% in the February 1st memo to accommodate Board concerns that there aren't enough sports fields. This would assume that 3 CSD fields, 7.5 school fields (the entire inventory of either Buckeye or Rescue School Districts) for a total of 10.5 fields are offline at any given time. This approach results in a net current need for 3 fields and a net future need for 24 fields."

GYMNASIUMS: Ms. McIntyre reviewed inventory and methodology for calculating demand. Although not typical, the current approach to measure demand discounted school gyms. The current approach allows for a 30% factor for growth in demand or as a result of lack of access, which can be negotiated. The reason that there may not be as much time for basketball as needed is because there are other competing uses for the gym space such as Jazzercise, Boy Scout activities, church services, etc. If there were other community spaces for these other activities, for example, dance/exercise rooms in the proposed new community center, then the gym space would be more available for basketball/volleyball and the perceived latent demand could be accommodated.

Motion No.3.: Director Chinn made a motion, seconded by Director Trapani to direct staff to recalculate Needs Analysis after further reducing school use hours from 33 hours per week to 16 hours per week, leaving CSD sports use in gym at 43 hrs/week and by differentiating the regulation size courts from youth-sized courts. Motion carried 5-0-0.

Adjournment

President Masters adjourned the meeting at approximately 10:00 p.m.

APPROVED: _____ DATE: _____
Justin Masters, President
EDHCSD Board of Directors

ATTEST: _____ DATE: _____
Wayne A. Lowery, General Manager
Secretary to the Board Of Directors

